

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONTENDA - TIONA	
09/752,533	12/29/2000	Stephen M. Coutts	252312005704	CONFIRMATION NO.	
25226 75	0203/2001		EXAM	INER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD			LUKTON, DAVID		
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/752,	533	COUTTS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit			
	David L	ukton	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on th	ne c ver sheet with the c	l			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. b) days, a reply within the sta tutory period will apply and will. by statute, cause the a	vent, however, may a reply be time stutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>05 January 20</i> 6	04				
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practic						
Disposition of Claims		•				
· <u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) 22-98 is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ard 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) 22-98 are subject to restricti	on and/or election r					
organical subject to restrict	on and/or election i	equirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:						
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	the correction is requi	ed if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d			(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority d			n No.			
 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation 	f the priority docum	ents have been received				
* See the attached detailed Office action		, ,,	I.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (F				
 P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTGB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTG) 		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pai	e tent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10/38/08)	6) Other:	ен Аррікацоп (РТО-152)			
6. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa		of Paper No./Mail Date 20040201			

Pursuant to the directives of the amendment filed 1/5/04, claims 22, 32, 36, 38, 41, 42, 44, 45, 51-55, 57, 59, 61 have been amended, and claims 64-98 added. Claims 22-98 are pending.

Claims 44 and 45 remain withdrawn from consideration pursuant to the first restriction/election.

The previous specie election (compound 3-II, figure 6A.) remains in force.

*

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 (the numbering begins with Group 7, to avoid conflict with previous assigned numbers):

- 7. Claims 22-43, 46-63, 65, 81, 82, 98, drawn to compounds in which a single "line of symmetry" is required.
- 8. Claims 64, 66-80, 83-97, drawn to compounds in which a "line of symmetry" is <u>not</u> required.

The claimed inventions are distinct. Clearly, asymmetric molecules are different from symmetrical molecules, and one is not obvious over the other.

This restriction is imposed despite the fact that a "first action on the merits" (FAOM) has

However, a genus that is defined in terms of a "line of symmetry" could not issued. have been envisioned at the time of the first Office action. First, there was no mention of this in any of the claims. Second, there is no mention of the phrase "line of symmetry" anywhere in the specification. Third, claim 22 (and all claims dependent thereon) constitute new matter. A complete explanation as to why claim 22 represents new matter will not now be provided. But what is probably the single most important reason for this conclusion is the following. Applicants, in their response filed 1/5/04, have pointed to various formulas in the specification. For example, on page 13 of the response, it is argued that the following has a line of symmetry (formula simplified below):

However, a necessary (though not sufficient) condition for such a molecule to be symmetrical would be for the structure represented as variable $G^{[2]}$ to itself be symmetrical. The specification does not describe this. Similarly, the structures represented as variables $G^{[6]}$ and $G^{[7]}$ would have to be symmetrical; there is no description of $G^{[1]}$, $G^{[2]}$, $G^{[6]}$ or $G^{[7]}$ being symmetrical. Nor is there a statement that the remaining substituent variables should be selected so that the resulting molecule is symmetrical.

In addition, the term "single line of symmetry", without further qualification, has no particular meaning to organic or inorganic chemists. The subject of symmetry is discussed, e.g., in Cotton & Wilkinson (*Advanced Inorganic Chemstry*, pages 3-46, John

Wiley & Sons, 1972). Perhaps the terms "axis of symmetry" or "plane of symmetry" would have meaning. But in the absence of a description, the term "single line of symmetry" is not meaningful. Furthermore, the elected specie does not have just one line of symmetry; it has at least two. The first line of symmetry (or plane of symmetry) bisects the "PEG" portion of the molecule, leaving a phenyl group on each side. But a second line of symmetry (or plane of symmetry) would "bisect" the molecule such that on one side of the line, ½ of a phenyl group would be present, and on the other side half a phenyl group would also be present, i.e., the second line of symmetry is perpendicular to the first. This lack of clarity as to what is intended only bolsters the argument for new matter.

The issue at this point is not new matter *per se*. The primary issue is that of the distinction between groups 7 and 8; a secondary issue is that of a restriction subsequent to the FAOM. But if a genus is presented subsequent to the FAOM, and that genus is not described in the specification as filed, it follows therefrom that (a) the genus thus presented is distinct from any that has been previously presented, and (b) an examiner could not have envisioned, at the time of the initial restriction, the new genus thus presented. Clearly, groups 7 and 8 are distinct from one another. Separate searches would be required.

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached at 571-272-0951.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

PATENT EXPLANATION GEOMO 1200

D. Kukkan

DAVID LURTON
PATENT EXAMPLES
GROUP 1800